Sapientia Global Journal of Arts, Humanities and Development Studies (SGOJAHDS), Vol.9 No.3 September, 2026; pg. 35 –43; ISSN: 2695-2319 (Print); ISSN: 2695-2327 (Online)

UNDERSTANDING PETER'S RECIPROCAL ETHIC IN 1 PETER 3:7 AND ITS IMPLICATIONS FOR CHRISTIAN FAMILIES

Samson Bisi Oladosu, Ph.D
Department of Religions
Kwara State University, Malete, Nigeria
+234 803 064 5088

Stephen Mosadoluwa Aremu, M.A
Department of Religions
Kwara State University, Malete, Nigeria
+234 708 831 2685 dolusteph@gmail.com

Abstract

The question of reciprocal ethic is also the question of the vital meaningfulness of submission among the couples within the family. The first-century Graeco-Roman household was described in terms of the head of the family. The husband had maximum and total control of the household including the power of granting life or death. He possessed the ultimate social and legal standing in the family and held authority over his wife, children and property. A woman was considered a thing in Jewish culture; she was owned by her husband in exactly the same way he owed his sheep and goats. This article examines the understanding of Peter's reciprocal ethic in 1 Peter 3:7and its implications for Christian families. The study adopts expositional and analytical methods using the secondary source of data. This paper reveals that a comprehensive understanding, imagination and objective assessment of 1 Peter 3:7 bring out the meaning and relevance of reciprocal submissiveness in Christian families. This paper establishes that women are together heirs... of the gracious gift of life and the husbands should live with them according to knowledge. Apostle Peter stresses spiritual equality of both husband and wife before God and that a Christian husband's spiritual relationship with God is directly affected by the way he treats his wife. The paper contributes to knowledge by revealing that, submission in Christian family should be reciprocal. The article, therefore, recommended that every Christian husbands should live with their wives with understanding and honour as heirs together of the grace of life so that their prayer will not be hindered.

Keywords: Submission, Honour, Knowledge, Weaker, Prayer.

INTRODUCTION

Reciprocal ethic in husbands and wife's relationship in the context of 1 Peter has been a subject of concern to biblical scholars. Peter record that husbands, "likewise, dwell with them with understanding, giving honour to the wife as to the weaker vessel, and as being heirs together of the grace of life, that your prayers may not be hindered" (1 Peter 3:7). This passage has in it much of the very essence of the Christian ethics. The ethic is what can be referred to as "reciprocal ethic." Apostle peter in his expression never places all responsibility on one side. The writer has just laid down the duties of the wives in the family; now he lays down the duty of husbands. Christian marriage must be based on reciprocal obligation. William Barclay (1976, p. 222) states, "A marriage in which all privileges are on one side and all obligations on the other is bound to be imperfect with every chance of failure." It is the focus of this paper to

examine and discover Peter's message of reciprocal ethic, and to draw certain implications for husbands in Christian homes. Moreover, scholarly works on reciprocal ethic have been x-rayed using content analysis. The study reveals the rights of husband in ancient world comparable with those of contemporary husband in our society.

THE RIGHTS OF THE HUSBAND IN THE ANCIENT WORLD

The first-century household among the Greeks and Romans was defined in terms of the head of the family. Marian Refat (2024) affirm that the head of the family in all ancient Mediterranean societies exercised very strong control over his wife and children. Also, the Roman family head (*paterfamilias*) controlled all the finances of the home. The husband had full control of the household including the power of granting life or death. In Graeco-Roman society, the husband possessed the highest social and legal standing in the family and held authority over his wife, children and property. Green and McDonald (2017, p. 179) state, "Scholars have used the Latin term *paterfamilias* to describe this all-encompassing power." In every sphere of ancient civilization, women had no rights at all. Under the Jewish law a woman was a thing; she was owned by her husband in exactly the same way as he owed his sheep and goats; on no account could she leave him, although he could dismiss her at any moment. In Greek civilization the duty of the woman was to remain indoors and be obedient to her husband. It was the sign of the good woman that she must see little, hear as little and ask as little as possible. She had no kind of independent existence and no kind of mind of her own, and her husband could divorce her almost at wish, so long as he returned her dowry.

Under Roman law a woman had no rights. In law she remains forever a child. When she was under her father she was under the *"patria potestas,"* the father's power which gave the father the right even of life and death over her; and when she married she passed equally into the power of her husband. She was entirely subject to her husband and completely at his mercy. According to Cato quoted by Barclay (p. 218), "If you were to catch your wife in an act of infidelity, you can kill her with impunity without a trial; but, if she were to catch you, she would not venture to touch you with her finger and, indeed, she has no right." In the Roman moral code all the obligation was on the wife and all the privilege with the husband. The Christian ethic never grants a privilege without a corresponding obligation.

OBLIGATIONS OF THE HUSBAND

Peter's record of household obligations does conclude with a reciprocal ethic, as he focuses on Christian husbands who live with believing wives. Lawrence Richards (2002, p. 585) postulate that perhaps this is intended to guard against a tendency seen throughout history – for men to insist on submission in their wives without the balancing emphasis Scripture places on love and consideration. Here, where there is no obligation of subjection to be enforced, the "likewise" seems clearly to refer to 2:17. Honour is due to all; in the same way, honour therefore your wives.

Edwards Dennis (2017, p. 133) states, "husbands are to take their behavioral cues from wives, who in turn take their cues from slaves … [who] follow the righteous example of the Lord Jesus." What about the Christian husband with the unbelieving wife? Peter sums it up by saying no separation! Walter Elwell, states "Even if they cannot share on the deepest spiritual level, they are still together "heirs... of the gracious gift of life." The husband must show all

the respect and care due to a weaker partner; and in so doing his own bond with the Lord will not be weakened.

The woman (τῷ γυναικείῳ), is used as substantive adjective– literally the feminine, rather than the more usual γυνή. Jobes Karen maintains that Peter is telling the *paterfamilias* how he should treat all the women in his household, (2005, p. 207) but Forbes and Köstenberger state that the context shows that wives are intended (2014, p. 104). Certainly wives seem to be the main consideration, though respectful treatment of female slaves and daughters would be appropriate for a godly man.

The Husband must be Understanding

Christian husband ought to live together with his wife according to knowledge. According to knowledge refers to with an "intelligent recognition of marriage relationship." (Willmington, 1984, p. 501) "γνῶσιν can be understood as acknowledgment – that is acknowledging that they are the weaker vessel" (Forbes, and Köstenberger, 2014, p. 104). The NIV used the words "be considerate" as you live with your wives. This denotes a prepositional phrase and a verb that could be literally translated *live with your wives according to knowledge*. "According to knowledge" may simply mean "considerately," but in the context of 1 Peter it might have a fuller connotation, such as "according to a knowledge of what God requires (Paul Achtemeier, 1996, p. 218)." Peter urges husbands to begin by living with their wives with understanding. They must be considerate and sensitive to the feelings of their wives. Understanding and considerateness had forged an unbreakable bound. William Barclay declares that husbands must remember that women are the weaker sex and treat them with courtesy. In the ancient world chivalry to women was well-nigh unknown. It was, and still is, no uncommon sight in the East to see the man riding on a donkey while the woman trudged by his side. It was Christianity which introduced chivalry into the relationship between men and women (1976, p. 223). The word for understanding is *gnosis*, which is usually translated as knowledge. If husband is to be understanding or considerate to his wife, he must know her. That is one of the greatest challenges of the marriage relationship or of any close interpersonal relationship. In order to love deeply, husband and wife must know each other deeply. If they are to lovingly respond to the needs of one another, they must know what they are. Paul Cedar, (1984, p. 386) declares "To know one another requires time, honesty, mutual openness, patience, sensitivity, and above all, love." Husbands should be getting to know their wives better and better day by day as they love them, share with them, engage in honest communication, and become deeply intimate.

Understand Equal Spiritual Rights

The husband must remember that his wife has equal spiritual right. She is a fellow-heir of the grace of life. As with weaker vessel (ὡς ἀσθενεστέρῳ σκεύει) is controversial today, as it is seen by many to be diminishing women. Lawrence Richards states,

> The phrase "as weaker" uses the common word for weakness, and does not define what Peter means. This is however, defined by the overall context. Peter clearly is not speaking of physical weakness, but he is looking in this chapter at the issues of sexual power. In the first century women were, in every legal and other sense, far weaker socially than their husbands. But in the Christian community recognition of such weakness is to be responded to with increased respect in contrast to

> exploitation. Only as Christian husband honours his wife, as Paul defines in Eph. 5, can the husband maintain a right relationship with God and so be in a position where his prayer can be answered (2002, p. 585).

However, Peter was recognising the reality of women's weaker position in that society, as well as the timeless fact of their relative physical weakness. The ability of most men to physically overpower most women, and the fact that in Greco-Roman culture men had control over the women in their lives, meant that men owed women a special consideration. this recognition that women's lack of physical strength and their vulnerability to male strength is a factor in our lives, and that it does not diminish the honour to which wives are entitled, nor their spiritual position. The notion that women are "weak," or "weaker" than men, was a commonplace in the ancient world "yet for all a woman is weaker than a man," but Peter uses it not to denigrate women but to foster "respect" or "honour" which is the core of his advice to Christian husbands (Michaels, J. Ramsey, 2002, p.167).

In 1 Peter 3:7, the Apostle Peter teaches that husbands should honour their wives as "heirs together of the grace of life." Grudem, (1988, 109) asserts that "This expression emphasizes the spiritual equality of both husband and wife before God." Although men and women may have different responsibilities within the family structure, Peter affirms that both share equally in God's gift of salvation and eternal life. The verse challenged the cultural practices of the ancient world where women were often treated as inferior. Peter instead calls Christian husbands to treat their wives with understanding, dignity, and respect.

Michaels maintains that the phrase "heirs together" shows that salvation in Christ is not based on gender, social status, or human strength, but on God's grace. Both men and women are equally valuable in God's sight and are recipients of His promises (1988, p. 96). This teaching agrees with other New Testament passages such as Galatians 3:28, where Paul explains that all believers are one in Christ Jesus. Spiritual equality therefore means that husbands and wives are partners in faith, prayer, and the Christian journey.

Furthermore, Peter connects the treatment of one's spouse with one's spiritual life by warning that a husband's prayers may be hindered if he fails to honour his wife properly. Jobes affirms this in his expression that "This demonstrates that God values justice, love, and mutual respect within marriage" (2025, p. 215) Christian marriage is therefore intended to reflect unity, compassion, and shared participation in God's grace.

The word likewise (ὁμοίως) is the same word which was used in 3:1 to refer back to 3:18 and 3:13; by it Peter introduces the third specific group to which he applies the principle of humility. According to Fronmuller (1941, p. 52), the use of this word implies that the husbands are also to be "subject" in the social institution of marriage which is in view here; the Greek word for subjection is not used but the idea is understood as now applying to husbands, just as it did to servants and wives. In what sense can husbands "be subject" in marriage? Certainly, they cannot be subject to their wives for that would contradict 3:1–6. In a marriage, someone has to be the head of the home; it cannot be a fifty-fifty split of authority, and democracy will not work here since the vote would be tied. The husband is the leader, and yet he must also submit in humility, not to his wife, but to the good of the marriage.

Consequently, Men are instructed to honour their wives as co-heirs of the grace of life. Many have taken this to indicate that the wives in mind are Christians. Jobes Karen questions this, and recommends that in context it may be referring to Christian men whose wives may not have truly committed to Christ, though they may be following the outward form of Christianity (2005, pp. 207-208). Peter may in part be saying that men should not use their physical and social strength to coerce their wives, corresponding with the instruction to wives not to try to use their appearance to manipulate their husbands.

Peter also teaches that husbands should give honor and respect to their wives, as weaker vessels. A godly husband knows how to make his wife feel honoured. Though she submits to him, he takes care that she does not feel like she is an employee or under a tyrant. The weakness in view is physical, but the phrase does not mean "the weaker sex" in a generalized sense, σκεῦος is always used of a material object, often a piece of pottery, and metaphorically of the human body. The notion that women are "weak," or "weaker" than men, was a commonplace in the ancient world, but Peter uses it not to belittle or denigrate women but to foster "respect" ἀπονέμοντες τιμήν, "showing her respect." (Paul and Lloyd, 1984, p. 156). A believing husband should also be chivalrous to his wife, realizing she is someone weaker, since she is a woman. Just as submission does not imply inherent inferiority for the ones who submit, so the word weaker does not mean the wife is intrinsically weaker in character or intellect than her husband. The word "weaker vessel" also does not mean that women are spiritually inferior to men (Gal. 3:28). It just means that women generally possess less physical strength than men. With that in mind, Christian husbands are the sacrificial providers and protectors of their wives whether or not the wives are believers. According to William Barclay (1976):

> Women did not share in the worship of the Greeks and Romans. Even in the Jewish synagogue they had no share in the service, and in the orthodox synagogue still have none. When they were admitted to the synagogue at all, they were segregated from the men and hidden behind a screen. Here in Christianity emerged the revolutionary principle that women had equal spiritual rights and with that the relationship between the sexes was changed (p. 223).

Therefore, Christian husbands are called to live considerately with their wives and show honor to them not only in light of their weakness, but in light of the fact that they share equally in the grace of God. Peter believed in the now often disagreed perception that husbands and wives were equal with respect to their relationship with God, but at the same time had diverse and paired roles in the family. Peter would agree with Paul that "the husband is the head of his wife as Christ is the head of the church" (Eph 5:22) and that "there is no longer male and female; for all of you are one in Christ Jesus" (Gal 3:28). Although spiritual equality does not abrogate all social differences, it does have social implications. Husbands who recognize their wives as equal partners in the grace of God must not misuse their headship of the home, but must be considerate and show honour to their wives.

The conclusion of this section is amazing and powerful. Men are to behave this way εἰς τὸ μὴ ἐγκόπτεσθαι τὰς προσευχὰς ὑμῶν. The appropriate treatment of wives by their husbands is so important to God that the prayers of men who do not give it are hindered. This ties in with 3:12 which says that God hears the prayers of the righteous, and also with the biblical theme

of God's care and priority for those who are overlooked by society (Prov 3:34 for example, quoted by Peter in 5:5).

Understand the Importance of Prayer in Marriage

The importance of prayer in marriage according to 1 Peter 3:7 is deeply connected to mutual respect, understanding, and spiritual unity between husband and wife. The verse states that husbands should live with their wives in understanding and honor them as co-heirs of the grace of life so that their prayers will not be hindered. David Guzik has it that "The failure to live as a godly husband has spiritual consequences. It can and it will hinder prayer" (1994). This teaching reveals that the quality of the marital relationship affects spiritual fellowship with God. Prayer in marriage promotes unity and peace between couples. When spouses pray together, they strengthen their emotional and spiritual bond and invite God's guidance into their home. Radmacher, Allen & House (1999, p. 306) avow that "A Christian husband's spiritual relationship with God is directly affected by the way he treats his wife." According to Peter the Apostle, failure to show love, honour, and understanding in marriage can hinder prayers, which signifies that unresolved conflict and mistreatment affect communion with God. Waltner & Daryl (1999, p. 214) state that Peter recognizes that the prayer life of both husband and wife can be blocked, interrupted, or utterly cut off by wrong or destructive domestic or community relationships. As noted in Scripture, "Husbands, in the same way, live with your wives in an understanding way… showing them honour… so that your prayers will not be hindered.

Furthermore, prayer encourages forgiveness, patience, and mutual care in marriage. Couples who pray together often develop humility and dependence on God during challenges. Prayer helps husbands and wives grow spiritually as equal heirs of God's grace. Schreiner explains that Peter emphasizes harmony in marriage because "spiritual relationships with God cannot be separated from human relationships" (2003, 246). He further argues that mutual honor in marriage is necessary for effective spiritual life and prayer.The husband who does not treat his wife with Christian respect need not expect God to answer his prayers (Matt. 18:19). Francis Nichol (1978, 238) states that God cannot consistently bestow blessings on men who deal with their wives in an unreasonable, selfish, and tyrannical spirit. In a sense, the mistreated wife's petitions to God cancel out her husband's hypocritical prayers.

Jesus spoke about the sin which can hinder our prayers and He identified it as the sin of being unforgiving. As He taught His disciples how to pray in His Sermon on the Mount, He concluded His teaching on prayer by saying, "For if you forgive men their trespasses, your heavenly Father will also forgive you. But if you do not forgive men their trespasses, neither will your Father forgive your trespasses" (Matt. 6:14–15). Apostle Peter is focusing on that basic teaching when he instructs husbands to so treat their wives that their prayers will not be hindered (Cedar and Ogilvie, 1984, 246). They must be living in a loving, open, sensitive, forgiving relationship. Nothing should separate their love, fidelity, and commitment. Indeed, this is a touching statement in Peter's epistle, for the apostle indicates that he is fully acquainted with married life; he speaks from experience (I Cor. 9:5). When a husband fails to live with his wife according to Scripture and does not respect her, he finds difficult to pray with her. Similarly, when a wife refuses to accept her husband's authority, she experiences an inability to pray with her spouse.

Implications of Reciprocal Ethic in 1 Peter 3:7 for Christian Family

The implications of Peter's reciprocal ethics in 1Peter 3:7 are noteworthy for Christian marriage, family life, and community relationships. Peter instructs the husbands to live with their wives in an understanding way and to honour them as heirs together of the grace of life. Bakoshi (2016, p. 136) posits that "a believing husband is expected to dwell according to knowledge with his wife. He must take time to know his wife, understand her focus and support her." This teaching establishes mutual responsibility, respect, and spiritual equality within marriage.

One major implication is the acknowledgement of spiritual equality between husband and wife. This brings unity between husband and wife as observed by Wilbur O'Donovan (2000) "The strongest expression of spiritual unity is when the husband and wife read the Bible and pray together. There is no stronger glue to hold a marriage together than spiritual unity" (p. 281). Peter teaches that both husband and wife are co-heirs of God's grace, meaning that men and women share equal value before God. This challenged the social norms of the ancient world where women were often treated as inferior. For Christian families today, it accentuates dignity, partnership, and shared participation in God's kingdom.

Another implication for Christian family is the call to mutual respect and care in marriage. Husbands are commanded to treat their wives with understanding and honor rather than domination or harshness. Michael Eaton (1999) declares, "The Christians therefore gives special honour to the one who is weaker in this sense. It forbids harshness in the husbands. It condemns dominieering. It encourages a spirit of care and protection" (p. 68). Reciprocal ethics therefore promote love, empathy, patience, and emotional support in family relationships. This creates harmony and stability within the home.

Similarly, Peter's teaching connects family relationships with spiritual life. He warns that failure to treat one's spouse properly can hinder prayers. According to Huegel (1959, p. 18), "No man can come into the presence of God and expect an audience standing on the ground of his own merit. His own righteousness will not avail." This means that a healthy relationship with God is closely linked to healthy human relationships. J.O. Sanders (1977, pp. 35-36) points us to a significant fact that: "When a number of Christians unite in prayer for a given person or objective, it is the uniform teaching of Scripture that this bring special spiritual power into operation, for their gathering demonstrates that oneness that God delights to see and acknowledge." Christian couples are therefore encouraged to maintain peace, forgiveness, and mutual care so that their spiritual lives remain effective.

Furthermore, Peter's reciprocal ethics encourage responsibility and accountability in leadership. Husbands are not given absolute authority but are called to exercise leadership through service, humility, and compassion, reflecting the example of Jesus Christ. This understanding discourages abuse, oppression, and selfishness in marriage.

Finally, Reciprocal ethics have broader implications for Christian communities. They promote unity, justice, and mutual submission among believers. When practiced faithfully, Peter's teaching strengthens families, builds peaceful communities, and demonstrates Christian values to society.

Conclusion

The teaching of reciprocal ethics in 1 Peter 3:7 provides an important foundation for Christian family life. Apostle Peter instructs husbands to live with their wives in understanding, honouring them as co-heirs of the grace of life. This teaching reveals that marriage in Christianity is not based on domination or inequality, but on mutual respect, love, care, and spiritual partnership. Peter emphasizes that both husband and wife are equal before God and share together in His blessings and salvation. Therefore, reciprocal ethics in the family involves responsibilities that promote peace, unity, and spiritual growth within the home.

The implications of this teaching for Christian families are very significant. First, it encourages husbands and wives to treat one another with dignity and understanding. When family relationships are guided by respect and compassion, conflicts are reduced and harmony is strengthened. Second, Peter's instruction shows that spiritual life in the family is connected to the way spouses relate to each other. The warning that prayers may be hindered if husbands fail to honour their wives demonstrates that God values justice, love, and mutual care within marriage. Third, reciprocal ethics promotes decision-making, and the nurturing of children in Christian values. Children raised in homes characterized by love and respect are more likely to develop strong moral and spiritual foundations.

Finally, Peter's reciprocal ethics in 1 Peter 3:7 remains relevant for modern Christian families. It calls believers to practice humility, mutual honour, and spiritual equality in marriage. By following these principles, Christian homes can become places of peace, godliness, and effective witness to society.

Works Cited

Achtemeier, Paul J. (1996). *A Commentary on 1 Peter*, Hermeneia Series: Fortress Press

Bakoshi, Ibrahim Adamu. (2016). *Gender Inclusiveness: A Biblical Prescription for Enhancing the Relationship of Men and Women*. Jos: Challenge Press.

Cedar, Paul A. & Ogilvie, Lloyd J. (1984). *The Preacher's Commentary Series, Volume 34: James / 1 & 2 Peter / Jude*. Nashville, Tennessee: Thomas Nelson Inc.

Eaton, Michael. (1999). *Preaching Through the Bible: 1 Peter*. Tonbridge, England: Sovereign World.

Edwards, Dennis R. (2017). *1 Peter: The Story of God Bible Commentary*. Grand Rapids: Zondervan.

Elwell, Walter A. (1996). *Evangelical Commentary on the Bible*. Grand Rapids, Mich.: Baker Book House.

Forbes, Greg W. and Köstenberger, Andreas J. (2014). *1 Peter: Exegetical Guide to the Greek New Testament.* Nashville: B & H Academic.

Fronmuller, G.F.C. (1941). *The Epistles General of Peter. Lange's Commentary on the Holy Scriptures.* Reprint, transl. J.I. Mombert, Zondervan, Grand Rapids, MI.

Green, J. B. & McDonald, L. M. (eds.). (2017) *The world of the New Testament: Cultural, social, and historical contexts* (Paperback edition). Grand Rapids: Baker Academic.

Grudem, Wayne. (1988). *1 Peter: An introduction and commentary.* Leicester: InterVarsity Press.

Guzik, David. (1994). *Enduring Word Bible Commentary: 1 Peter.* Cathedral City, California: Yahshua Publishing.

Huegel, F.J. (1993). *Successful Praying*. Minneapolis: Dimension Books, Bethany Fellowship, Inc.

Jobes, Karen H. (2005). *1 Peter. Baker Exegetical Commentary on the New Testament*. Grand Rapids: Baker Academic.

Kistemaker, Simon J. & Hendriksen, William. (2001). *New Testament Commentary: Exposition of the Epistles of Peter and the Epistle of Jude*. Grand Rapids: Baker Book House,.

Michaels, J. R. (1988). *1 Peter* (Vol. 49). Chicago: World Books.

Michaels, J. Ramsey. (2002). *Word Biblical Commentary: 1 Peter*. Dallas: Word, Incorporated.

Nichol, Francis D. (1978). *The Seventh-day Adventist Bible Commentary: The Holy Bible With Exegetical and Expository Comment*. Washington, D.C.: Review and Herald Publishing Association.

O'Donovan, Wilbur. (2000). *Biblical Christianity in African Perspective*. Carlisle: Parternoster Press.

Radmacher, Earl D. Allen, Ronald, Barclay & House, Wayne H. (1999) *Nelson's New Illustrated Bible Commentary*. Nashville: T. Nelson Publishers.

Refat, Marian. (2024). The Background of New Testament Household Codes: Towards a Historical Evaluation of the Roots Shaping Present-day African Families and Gender Roles African *Multidisciplinary Journal of Research* (AMJR) Vol. 9 (I), 2.

Richards, Lawrence O. (2002). *New Testament Life and Time*. Colorando Spring: Cook Communications Ministries.

Sanders, J.O. (1977). *Prayer Power Unlimited*. Chicago: Moody Press.

Schreiner, T. R. (2003). *1, 2 Peter, Jude*. B&H Publishing Group.

Waltner, Erland. Charles, J. Daryl: (1999) *1-2 Peter, Jude*. Scottdale, Penn.: Herald Press.

Willmington, H.L. (1984). *Willmington Guide to the Bible.* Katunayake: Tyndale House Publishers.